

## RESEARCH

## Open Access

# On a boundary value problem of a class of generalized linear discrete-time systems

Ioannis K Dassios

Correspondence: [jdassios@math.uoa.gr](mailto:jdassios@math.uoa.gr)  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Athens,  
Panepistimioupolis, Athens, Greece

## Abstract

In this article, we study a boundary value problem of a class of generalized linear discrete-time systems whose coefficients are square constant matrices. By using matrix pencil theory, we obtain formulas for the solutions and we give necessary and sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of solutions. Moreover, we provide some numerical examples. These kinds of systems are inherent in many physical and engineering phenomena.

**Keywords:** linear difference equations, boundary value problem, matrix pencil, discrete time system, matrix difference equations

## 1 Introduction

Linear matrix difference equations (LMDEs) are systems in which the variables take their values at instantaneous time points. Discrete time systems differ from continuous time ones in that their signals are in the form of sampled data. With the development of the digital computer, the discrete time system theory plays an important role in control theory. In real systems, the discrete time system often appears when it is the result of sampling the continuous-time system or when only discrete data are available for use. LMDEs are inherent in many physical, engineering, mechanical, and financial/actuarial models. In this article, our purpose is to study the solutions of generalized linear discrete-time boundary value problems into the mainstream of matrix pencil theory. A boundary value problem consists of finding solutions which satisfies an ordinary matrix difference equation and appropriate boundary conditions at two or more points. Thus, we consider

$$FY_{k+1} = GY_k \quad (1)$$

with known boundary values of type

$$AY_{k_0} + BY_{k_N} = D \quad (2)$$

where  $F, G, A, B, \in \mathcal{M}(m \times m; \mathcal{F})$ ,  $Y_k, D \in \mathcal{M}(m \times 1; \mathcal{F})$  (i.e., the algebra of square matrices with elements in the field  $\mathcal{F}$ ). For the sake of simplicity, we set  $\mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}(m \times m; \mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{nm} = \mathcal{M}(n \times m; \mathcal{F})$ .

Systems of type (1) are more general, including the special case when  $F = I_n$ , where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

The matrix pencil theory has extensively been used for the study of linear difference equations with time invariant coefficients, see for instance [1-5]. A matrix pencil is a family of matrices  $sF - G$ , parametrized by a complex number  $s$ . When  $G$  is square and  $F = I_n$ , where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix, the zeros of the function  $\det(sF - G)$  are the eigenvalues of  $G$ . Consequently, the problem of finding the nontrivial solutions of the equation

$$sFX = GX \quad (3)$$

is called the generalized eigenvalue problem. Although the generalized eigenvalue problem looks like a simple generalization of the usual eigenvalue problem, it exhibits some important differences. In the first place, it is possible for  $\det(sF - G)$  to be identically zero, independent of  $s$ . Second, it is possible for  $F$  to be singular, in which case the problem has infinite eigenvalues. To see this, write the generalized eigenvalue problem in the reciprocal form

$$FX = s^{-1}GX \quad (4)$$

If  $F$  is singular with a null vector  $X$ , then  $GX = 0$ , so that  $X$  is an eigenvector of the reciprocal problem corresponding to eigenvalue  $s^{-1} = 0$ ; i.e.,  $s = \infty$ . It might be thought that infinite eigenvalues are special, unhappy cases to be ignored in our perturbation problem but that is a misconception (see also [6-9]).

## 2 Mathematical background and notation

This brief section introduces some preliminary concepts and definitions from matrix pencil theory, which are being used throughout the article. Linear systems of type (1) are closely related to matrix pencil theory, since the algebraic, geometric, and dynamic properties stem from the structure by the associated pencil  $sF - G$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Given  $F, G \in M_{nm}$  and an indeterminate  $s \in F$ , the matrix pencil  $sF - G$  is called regular when  $m = n$  and  $\det(sF - G) \neq 0$ . In any other case, the pencil will be called singular.

**Definition 2.2.** The pencil  $sF - G$  is said to be *strictly equivalent* to the pencil  $s\tilde{F} - \tilde{G}$  if and only if there exist nonsingular  $P \in M_m$  and  $Q \in M_m$  such as

$$P(sF - G)Q = s\tilde{F} - \tilde{G} \quad (5)$$

In this article, we consider the case that pencil is *regular*.

The class of  $sF - G$  is characterized by a uniquely defined element, known as a complex Weierstrass canonical form,  $sF_w - Q_w$ , see [5], specified by the complete set of invariants of the pencil  $sF - G$ .

This is the set of *elementary divisors* (e.d.) obtained by factorizing the invariant polynomials  $f_i(s, \hat{s})$  into powers of homogeneous polynomials irreducible over field  $F$ . In the case where  $sF - G$  is a regular, we have e.d. of the following type:

- e.d. of the type  $s^p$  are called *zero finite elementary divisors* (z. f.e.d.)
- e.d. of the type  $(s - a)^n$ ,  $a \neq 0$  are called *nonzero finite elementary divisors* (nz. f.e.d.)
- e.d. of the type  $\hat{s}^q$  are called *infinite elementary divisors* (i.e.d.).

Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . The direct sum of them denoted by  $B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n$  is the block diag  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$ .

Then, the complex Weierstrass form  $sF_w - Q_w$  of the regular pencil  $sF - G$  is defined by  $sF_w - Q_w := sI_p - J_p \oplus sH_q - I_q$ , where the first normal Jordan-type element is uniquely defined by the set of f.e.d.

$$(s - a_1)^{p_1}, \dots, (s - a_v)^{p_v}, \sum_{j=1}^v p_j = p \quad (6)$$

of  $sF - G$  and has the form

$$sI_p - J_p := sI_{p_1} - J_{p_1}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus sI_{p_v} - J_{p_v}(a_v). \quad (7)$$

and also the  $q$  blocks of the second uniquely defined block  $sH_q - I_q$  correspond to the i.e.d.

$$\widehat{s}^{q_1}, \dots, \widehat{s}^{q_\sigma}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} q_j = q \quad (8)$$

of  $sF - G$  and has the form

$$sH_q - I_q := sH_{q_1} - I_{q_1} \oplus \dots \oplus sH_{q_\sigma} - I_{q_\sigma}. \quad (9)$$

Thus,  $H_q$  is a nilpotent element of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with index  $\tilde{q} = \max\{q_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma\}$ , then

$$H_q^{\tilde{q}} = \mathbb{O}$$

We denote with  $O$  the zero matrix.  $I_{p_j}, J_{p_j}(a_j), H_{q_j}$  are defined as

$$I_{p_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{p_j}, \quad (10)$$

$$J_{p_j}(a_j) = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_j & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_j & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_j \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{p_j} \quad (11)$$

$$H_{q_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{q_j}. \quad (12)$$

### 3 Main results-Solution space form of a consistent boundary value problem

In this section, the main results for a consistent boundary value problem of types (1) and (2) are analytically presented. Moreover, it should be stressed that these results offer the necessary mathematical framework for interesting applications.

**Definition 3.1.** The boundary value problem (1) and (2) is said to be consistent if it possesses at least one solution.

Consider the problem (1) with known boundary conditions (2). From the regularity of  $sF - G$ , there exist nonsingular  $\mathcal{M}(m \times m, F)$  matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  such that (see also Section 2),

$$PFQ = F_w = I_p \oplus H_q \quad (13)$$

and

$$PGQ = G_w = J_p \oplus I_q \quad (14)$$

where  $I_{p_j}, J_{p_j}(a_j), H_{q_j}$  are defined by (10), (11), (12) and moreover

$$I_p = I_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{p_v} \quad J_p = J_{p_1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{p_v}(a_v) \quad H_q = H_{q_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{q_\sigma} \quad I_q = I_{q_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{q_\sigma} \quad (15)$$

Note that  $\sum_{j=1}^v p_j = p$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^\sigma q_j = q$ , where  $p + q = n$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** System (1) is divided into two subsystems:

$$Z_{k+1}^p = J_p Z_k^p, \quad (16)$$

and the subsystem

$$H_q Z_{k+1}^q = Z_k^q \quad (17)$$

*Proof.* Consider the transformation

$$Y_k = QZ_k \quad (18)$$

Substituting the previous expression into (1) we obtain

$$FQZ_{k+1} = GQZ_k$$

whereby, multiplying by  $P$ , we arrive at

$$F_w Z_{k+1} = G_w Z_k$$

Moreover, we can write  $Z_k$  as  $Z_k = \begin{bmatrix} Z_k^p \\ Z_k^q \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $Z_k^p \in \mathcal{M}_{p1}$  and  $Z_k^q \in \mathcal{M}_{q1}$ . Taking

into account the above expressions, we arrive easily at (16) and (17).

**Proposition 3.2.** The subsystem (16) has general solution

$$Z_k^p = J_p^{k-k_0} C \quad (19)$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^v p_j = p$  and  $C \in \mathcal{M}_{m1}$  constant.

*Proof.* See [2,3].

**Proposition 3.3.** The subsystem (17) has the unique solution

$$Z_k^q = \mathbb{O} \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* Let  $q_*$  be the index of the nilpotent matrix  $H_q$ , i.e.  $(H_q^{q_*} = \mathbb{O})$ , we obtain the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} H_q Z_{k+1}^q &= Z_k^q \\ H_q^2 Z_{k+1}^q &= H_q Z_k^q \\ H_q^3 Z_{k+1}^q &= H_q^2 Z_k^q \\ &\vdots \\ H_q^{q^*} Z_{k+1}^q &= H_q^{q^*-1} Z_k^q \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_q Z_{k+1}^q &= Z_k^q \\ H_q^2 Z_{k+2}^q &= H_q Z_{k+1}^q \\ H_q^3 Z_{k+3}^q &= H_q^2 Z_{k+2}^q \\ &\vdots \\ H_q^{q^*} Z_{k+q^*}^q &= H_q^{q^*-1} Z_{k+q^*-1}^q \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion, i.e.,  $Z_k^q = \mathbb{O}$ , is obtained by repetitive substitution of each equation in the next one, and using the fact that  $H_q^{q^*} = \mathbb{O}$ .

### The boundary value problem

A necessary and sufficient condition for the boundary value problem to be consistent is given by the following result

**Theorem 3.1.** The boundary value problem (1), (2) is consistent, if and only if

$$D \in \text{colspan}[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}] \quad (21)$$

Where  $Q_p \in \mathcal{M}_{mp}$ . The matrix  $Q_p$  has column vectors the  $p$  linear independent eigenvectors of the finite generalized eigenvalues of sF-G (see [1] for an algorithm of the computation of  $Q_p$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $Q = [Q_p Q_q]$ , where  $Q_p \in \mathcal{M}_{mp}$  and  $Q_q \in \mathcal{M}_{mq}$ ; Combining propositions (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$Y_k = QZ_k = [Q_p Q_q] \begin{bmatrix} J_p^{k - k_0} C \\ \mathbb{O} \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$Y_k = Q_p J_p^{k - k_0} C. \quad (22)$$

The solution exists if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} D &= AY_{k_0} + BY_{k_N} \\ D &= [AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}] C \end{aligned}$$

or

$$D \in \text{colspan}[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}]$$

It is obvious that, if there is a solution of the boundary value problem, it needs not to be unique. The necessary and sufficient conditions, for uniqueness, when the problem is consistent, are given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume the boundary value problem (1), (2). Then when it is consistent, it has a unique solutions if and only if

$$\text{rank}[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}] = p \quad (23)$$

Then the formula of the unique solution is

$$Y_k = Q_p J_p^{k - k_0} C$$

where  $C$  is the solution of the equation

$$[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k - k_0}]C = D \quad (24)$$

*Proof.* Let the boundary value problem (1), (2) be consistent, then from Theorem 3.1 and (22) the solution is

$$Y_k = Q_p J_p^{k - k_0} C$$

with

$$D = AY_{k_0} + BY_{k_N}$$

and

$$[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}]C = D$$

It is clear that for given  $A, B, D$  the problem (1), (2) has a unique solution if and only if the system (24) has a unique solution. Since  $(AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}) \in \mathcal{M}_{mp}$ , the solution is unique for system (24) if and only if the matrix  $AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}$  is left invertible. This fact is equivalent to:

$$\text{rank}[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}] = p$$

Then the formula of the unique solution is

$$Y_k = Q_p J_p^{k - k_0} C$$

where  $C$  is the solution of the equation

$$(AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0})C = D$$

#### Other type of boundary conditions

Assume that the matrix difference equation (1) has a different type of boundary conditions. Let the boundary conditions be

$$\begin{aligned} KY_{k_0} &= S \\ LY_{k_N} &= T \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $K, L, S, T \in \mathcal{M}(m \times m; \mathcal{F})$ . Then we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** The boundary value problem (1), (25) is consistent, if and only if

$$S, T \in \text{colspan}[KQ_p] = \text{colspan}[LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}] \quad (26)$$

Moreover when it is consistent, it has a unique solution if and only if

$$\text{rank}[KQ_p] = \text{rank}[LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}] = p \quad (27)$$

and the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} KQ_p C &= S \\ LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0} C &= T \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

gives a unique solution for the constant column  $C$ .

*Proof.* From (22) and (25) the solution exists if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} S &= KQ_p C \\ T &= LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0} C \end{aligned}$$

or

$$S, T \in \text{colspan}[KQ_p] = \text{colspan}[LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}]$$

It is obvious that a consistent solution of the boundary value problem (1), (25), is unique if and only if the system (28) gives a unique solution for  $C$ . Since  $KQ_p, LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0} \in \mathcal{M}_{mp}$ , the solution is unique if and only if the matrices  $KQ_p, LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}$  are left invertible or  $\text{rank}[KQ_p] = \text{rank}[LQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}] = p$ .

#### 4 Numerical example

Consider the boundary value problem (1), (2), where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -4 & 2 & 2 & -3 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $A, B$  the identity and zero matrices, respectively. The invariants of  $sF - G$  are  $s - 1, s - 2, s - 3$  (finite elementary divisors) and  $\hat{s}^3$  (infinite elementary divisor of degree 3). Then

$$J_3^k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3^k \end{bmatrix}$$

and the columns of  $Q_p$  are the eigenvectors of the generalized eigenvalues 1, 2, 3, respectively. Then

$$AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 & 3 & -5 & 3 & -5 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 4 & -4 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 & -3 & 9 & -9 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad (29)$$

where  $()^T$  is the transpose tensor.

#### 4.1 Example 1

Let

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ -10 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$D \in \text{colspan}[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}]$$

and by calculating  $C$  from (24) we get

$$C = [1 \ -1 \ -1]$$

and the unique solution of the system by substituting in (22) is

$$Y_k = \begin{bmatrix} 3 - 2^k - 3^k \\ -5 + 2^k + 3^k \\ 3 - 2^{k+1} - 3^{k+1} \\ -5 + 2^{k+1} + 3^{k+1} \\ 3 - 2^{k+2} - 3^{k+2} \\ -5 + 2^{k+2} + 3^{k+2} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 4.2 Example 2

Let

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$D \notin \text{colspan}[AQ_p + BQ_p J_p^{k_N - k_0}]$$

and the problem is not consistent.

### 5 Conclusions

The aim of this article was to give necessary and sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of solutions for generalized linear discrete-time boundary value problems of a class of linear rectangular matrix difference equations whose coefficients are square constant matrices. By taking into consideration that the relevant pencil is regular, we use the Weierstrass canonical form to decompose the difference system into two sub-systems. Afterwards, we provide analytical formulas when we have a consistent problem. Moreover, as a further extension of this article, we can discuss the case where the pencil is singular. Thus, the Kronecker canonical form is required. For all these, there is some research in progress.



#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor G. I. Kalogeropoulos for his fruitful discussion that improved the article. The author would also like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments.

#### Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Received: 14 June 2011 Accepted: 7 November 2011 Published: 7 November 2011

#### References

1. Kalogeropoulos, G: Matrix Pencils and Linear System Theory. City University Press, London (1985)
2. Rough, WJ: Linear System Theory. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey (1996)
3. Dai, L: Singular Control Systems. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1988)
4. Cambell, SL: Singular Systems of Differential Equations. Cole Publishing Company (1980)
5. Gantmacher, FR: The Theory of Matrices, Volume I and II. Chelsea (1959)
6. Datta, BN: Numerical Linear Algebra and Applications. Cole Publishing Company (1995)
7. Dassios, IK: Solutions of higher-order homogeneous linear matrix differential equations for consistent and non-consistent initial conditions: regular case. *ISRN Math Anal* 2011 **14** (2011). Article ID 183795
8. Kalogeropoulos, GI, Psarrakos, P: A note on the controllability of Higher Order Systems. *Appl Math Lett.* **17**(12), 1375–1380 (2004). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2003.12.008
9. Kalogeropoulos, GI, Psarrakos, P, Karcianas, N: On the computation of the Jordan canonical form of regular matrix polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **385**, 117–130 (2004)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2011-51

**Cite this article as:** Dassios: On a boundary value problem of a class of generalized linear discrete-time systems. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2011 **2011**:51.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---